

Dear Jim,

7/11/75

As you know I've been after the Memo of Transfer since the end of 1968 and this year, when you'd carried my administrative remedies to the last point before filing a complaint, it was given to me and thus declassified.

As you also know quite some time ago I asked for everything released since the 1970-1 released.

When you spoke to the Archives for me Thursday they told you they were copying this order for me and that because the cost exceeded my deposit by a few dollars they would delay sending the papers until I paid. The amount lacking was less than the interest that the government had made on my unused balances for almost a decade.

Considering that part of this request went back almost two months, Thursday was not a bit too soon for the copying to have been under way.

Larry asked for what had been declassified this year only.

Today he received his order.

It included two documents relative to the Memo of Transfer, I think covered by my requests more than six years old, and not given to me. Now the Memo came through about four months ago.

Larry is sending me copies of these two. He told me by phone what he'd received and this I knew.

My point in this is to make a record for you of the continuation of discrimination that is hurtful to me, denies me my rights, reflects a long police and violated regulations.

The part of what I've not yet received that goes back longest is what I asked Marion Johnson for in your presence 5/13/75. I then had a balance and I then gave him another \$50 for the deposit. This more than covered that request.

From another source I have one of the more significant of these withheld documents. (Not complete but useful.) This was not in what Larry received but his was a more limited request and did not cover it. This was declassified last year and thus is covered by both of my requests, the verbal and the written.

Best,

JL: In case you may have some need for the foregoing and because I then would not want to disclose without need what is being withheld, it is a Bobby and an Evelyn Lincoln letter on the first part, relating to the Memo, and several CIA papers on mind toying and assassinations on the second.

The first set are of a nature that to the uninformed can be anti-Kennedy and can be so used.

At least one of the second set destroys the integrity of the Rockefeller Report p.226.

I am suggesting motive other than discrimination or vengeance or simple bureaucratic error.